

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/699,371 | 10/31/2000 | Hajime Ito | 06753.0386 | 7820 |
| 22852 | 7590 02/11/2003 | | | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HERNANDEZ, OLGA | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 3661 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| ٠, ا | | 09/699,371 | ITO ET AL. | \wedge | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | -// | | | |
| , | • | Olga Hernandez | 3661 | | | | |
| · | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ress | | | |
| Period fo | Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this com me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | imunication. | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 L | December 2002 | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims | | | mento io | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | 7) Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12-20</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | • | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | • | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notic | riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO- : | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/699,371

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

The restriction/election requirement has been withdrawn and all the claims have been examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dominike et al (6,154,688).

As per claims 1, 11 and 21, Dominike discloses:

- integrating the diversified pieces of information (column 2, lines 43-51);
- providing each of the integrated pieces of information with a priority order indicating an importance of each piece of information (figures 2-6) and
- when one or more pieces of information are processed in the vehicle,
 allocating one or more appropriate resources selected from a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 09/699,371

` Art Unit: 3661

diversified resources to the integrated pieces of information according to the priority order given to the integrated pieces of information (column 2, lines 52-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-10, 12-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

^ Art Unit: 3661

February 7, 2003

Olga Hernandez Examiner

Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600